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Context

- Digitization follows LEDification
  - LEDs allow/need control for efficient use
  - LED driver and controller integrated into luminaries
  - Control of luminaries over networks

- The promise
  - Many light sources
  - Expressive, structured, reactive, « smart » light

- Traditional control
  - A hierarchy of networks, cables ...
  - Centralized logic

- Distributed control
  - Push decisions to the luminaries themselves
  - Allow them to cooperate among each other
  - Creates commissioning free or easy platforms : plug and play
  - Scalable: no practical limit to network size (thousands to millions of independent nodes)
  - Robust: graceful degradation ...

- LED’s CHAT : distributed, scalable, real time
Historical decentralized control

- Light switch
- PIR sensor ...
  - Local decision and effect

- No connections between luminaries
Classical centralized control

- Interconnection bus
  - (Multi-)Master – slave approach
  - Bus shared for all messages
  - Slaves interpret and execute commands addressed to them
  - Slaves may answer to the master

- Examples
  - DaLi (slow and robust)
  - DMX (not so slow, but very limited)
  - IP based systems (not so limited, but setup remains complicated)
Hierarchical networks

- **Problem:**
  - Limits of backend networks

- **Examples:**
  - DaLi (64) DMX (512) address spaces
  - Bus bandwidth

- **Frequent solution:**
  - « Groups » to execute the same command sent just once
  - Backbone networks and gateways
    - KNX tree topology, KNX to DaLi gateways
    - IP backbones
  - Separate solutions for commissioning (if not fully manual)
  - Zoo of expensive controllers

Source: Industrial Ethernet Book Issue 69 / 35
Luminaries could be smarter without additional cost
- Luminaries are already equipped with an underused microcontroller and communication hardware
- What if THEY form the backbone network?
- What if THEY could communicate with each other?! LED’s CHAT!

Neighboring luminaries do related work
- Topology can be meaningful.

Origins of LED’s CHAT
- Started as a university project
- Prototype installation at a cultural event (Marseille European Capital of Culture)
- Academic freedom from standards: we could try something radically different.
- Current philosophy: interoperable on the outside, proprietary (and free) inside
Prototype created in 2013

- 500 modules, each with 31 RGB LEDs and 4 IR sensors
- Installation exposed during 3 months in Marseille, > 4000 visitors
- Interaction with « torches »
What’s on the modules

- RGB LED
- Infrared sensor
- Connector
- Microcontroller
In boxes and with diffusers …
LED’s CHAT installation scenario
What is distributed control

- **Centralized control**
  - Master takes decisions for each module and emits commands
  - Network transports commands to modules
  - Modules/Slaves execute commands

- **Distributed control**
  - Modules execute control code and take decisions themselves
  - Network allows modules to communicate with each other and with Master
  - Master still emits global decisions and data, but much less than in centralized control
Centralized or distributed?
Advantages of distributed control

- Much reduced bandwidth between master and modules
  - Can be close to zero for fully distributed applications

- As a result, nearly full scalability:
  - As you add more modules
    - you get more computing power
    - you get more local bandwidth
  - Local communication means no interference, as opposed to bus architecture

- With less bandwidth, you get more!
  - The power of distributed algorithms!
  - Cooperation between neighbors!

- ChatOS (our distributed operating system)
  - Real time behavior with guaranteed bandwidth for neighbors
  - Quasisynchronous operation of modules
Distributed algorithms?!

- What are they?!
  - Tasks performed together by the modules by computation and communication

- What can they do for you?!
  - Topology discovery and observation
  - Dynamic creation of « groups », e.g. variable zones in open spaces …
  - Synchronous execution with little communication
    - The conductor won’t read the partition to the musician, both read it at the same time
  - Sensor fusion and local diffusion
  - Firmware upgrade, ephemeral application distribution
  - Classical tasks like broadcast and convergecast
    - Video diffusion, data collection …
Sensor fusion

- Neighboring modules can share their sensor observations
  - Sensor fusion without the need of passing by the master/gateway
  - E.g. distance or presence sensors
  - Fusion for robustness and resolution, boundary detection, etc.

- Fully scalable, very low latency

- Speaking of sensors ...
  - You already have a microcontroller with your luminary!
  - Why do you want another one for creating a sensor?!
  - Adding useful sensors to lighting modules is not expensive

- Sensors:
  - Ambient light, presence, movement … for applications
  - Temperature, voltage, current … for monitoring and failure prevention
Demonstration of Sensor Fusion
So what is the role of the gateway?

- **Central view has many uses**
  - Time base, route optimizations …, gateway has more computing power …

- **Interoperability**
  - via IP and software
  - via physical interfaces (KNX, DMX, …) where required

- **Examples**
  - KNX or DMX messages can be received and broadcast in the LED’s CHAT network
    - LED’s CHAT distributed application code specifies how to interpret
    - address or group membership can be statically or dynamically assigned by a distributed algorithm to each LED!

  - External information sources (video …) can be broadcasted into the network
  - Sensor data can be aggregated and recovered.
LED‘s CHAT Ecosystem

- **ChatOS Base**
  - Lightweight distributed operating system for microcontrollers
  - Basic distributed system services
    - discovery, distributed synchronization (hot pluggable)
    - Network wide synchronous communication and execution
    - API for hardware access (sensor reading, LED control)
  - Basic gateway services
    - Application distribution and firmware update
    - topology discovery and tracking (hotplug / failures ...)
    - Dispatching of synchronous commands
    - Broadcast and convergecast services

- **LED‘s CHAT Application model**
  - Distributed synchronous programming model
  - Applications in neighboring modules advance synchronously
    - No need for handshake on application level!
    - Guaranteed synchronous communication between neighbors!
LED‘s CHAT Ecosystem simulation

- **ChatOS Base**
  - Lightweight distributed operating system for microcontrollers
  - Basic distributed system services
    - discovery, distributed synchronization (hot pluggable)
    - Network wide synchronous communication and execution
    - API for hardware access (sensor reading, LED control)
  - Basic gateway services
    - Application distribution and firmware update
    - topology discovery and tracking (hotplug / failures ...)
    - Dispatching of synchronous commands
    - Broadcast and convergecast services

- **LED‘s CHAT Application model**
  - Distributed synchronous programming model (C++)
  - Applications in neighboring modules advance synchronously
    - No need for handshake on application level!
    - Guaranteed synchronous communication between neighbors!
LED’s CHAT Simulator

- Both application and operating system can be simulated
  - Except for low level synchronization and communication
- Real time simulation in Game Engine
- Realistic rendering (not real time) available
- Debugging of application code with VisualStudio

```
Application (firmware/software)

Libraries

Distributed system services

Communication layer and hardware drivers for LEDs and Sensors

simulated abstract hardware

Hardware abstraction Layer
```
LED’s CHAT Simulator
Hardware requirements

- Mid range and lower end microcontrollers
  - Depends on the number of LEDs and the complexity of the control task
    - For one point sources Cortex M0/M0+ or equivalent is clearly sufficient
    - For multi pixel modules, midrange μC are a better choice (ARM Cortex M3, PIC32, …)

- That said …
  - an operational prototype with low end 8 bit micro controllers and 6 LEDs was our first experiment.
Some use cases

- **Ephemeral installations**
  - Easy commissioning makes LED’s CHAT great for exhibition spaces, etc

- **Expressive interactive lighting**
  - Interaction is immediate and low latency, great user experience
  - High quality transitions due to distributed effect generation

- **Open space ceiling light**
  - Dynamic zones, ambient lighting for well being …

- **Total cost of ownership advantages**
  - Modularity, easy commissioning (plug and play)
  - Graceful degradation in case of component or connection failure
  - Component failure can be automatically detected and signaled
LED’s CHAT, the company

- 2015 startup
  - The CEO (business development), two software engineers, a scientific advisor (me)

- Current status:
  - Consolidating technology towards commercial level
  - Discussing with potential customers and partners

- Looking for partnerships of several kinds
  - Pilot projects
  - Industrialization, co-development
  - Investment

- Interested?
Conclusions

- Distributed control is possible without additional hardware
  - But respecting current standards, potential is rather limited
  - LED’s CHAT is proprietary, but interoperable (gateway)

- Distributed control has many advantages
  - Easy commissioning, graceful degradation
  - More efficient use of bandwidth
  - Scalability

- Adoption
  - To some extent, partial adoption in many products (auto addressing)
  - LED’s CHAT goes further and distributes the essential part of control software

- LED’s CHAT system
  - Proof of concept prototype
  - Maturing software ecosystem
  - Simulator for development and project support
Thank you!