

Existence varieties of regular rings and complemented modular lattices

Christian Herrmann¹ Marina Semenova²

¹TU Darmstadt, Germany

²Institute of Mathematics SB RAS, Russia

Luminy, November 2010

Lattices are considered in signature $\{\vee, \wedge, 0\}$

Lattices are considered in signature $\{\vee, \wedge, 0\}$

$$\Sigma_l = \text{axioms of modular lattices} + \\ + \forall xy \exists z [x \wedge y \wedge z = 0] \& [(x \wedge y) \vee z = x].$$

Lattices are considered in signature $\{\vee, \wedge, 0\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_l = & \text{axioms of modular lattices} + \\ & + \forall xy \exists z [x \wedge y \wedge z = 0] \& [(x \wedge y) \vee z = x]. \end{aligned}$$

If $L \in \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma_l$, then L is a **sectionally complemented modular** lattice (a **SCML** for short);

Lattices are considered in signature $\{\vee, \wedge, 0\}$

$$\Sigma_l = \text{axioms of modular lattices} + \\
 + \forall x y \exists z [x \wedge y \wedge z = 0] \& [(x \wedge y) \vee z = x].$$

If $L \in \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma_l$, then L is a **sectionally complemented modular** lattice (a **SCML** for short);

if $L \in \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma_l$ has 1, then it is a **complemented modular** lattice (a **CML** for short);

Λ -algebras are considered in signature $\{\Lambda, +, -, \cdot, 0\}$;

Λ -algebras are considered in signature $\{\Lambda, +, -, \cdot, 0\}$;
Rings are considered in signature $\{+, -, \cdot, 0\}$;

Λ -algebras are considered in signature $\{\Lambda, +, -, \cdot, 0\}$;
Rings are considered in signature $\{+, -, \cdot, 0\}$;

$$\Sigma_{\Lambda} = \text{axioms of } \Lambda\text{-algebras} + \forall x \exists y [xyx = x].$$

Λ -algebras are considered in signature $\{\Lambda, +, -, \cdot, 0\}$;
Rings are considered in signature $\{+, -, \cdot, 0\}$;

$$\Sigma_{\Lambda} = \text{axioms of } \Lambda\text{-algebras} + \forall x \exists y [xyx = x].$$

If $A \in \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma_{\Lambda}$, then A is a (von Neumann) regular algebra.

Let R be a regular ring.

Let R be a regular ring.

$\mathbb{L}(R)$ is the lattice of principal right ideals of R .

Let R be a regular ring.

$\mathbb{L}(R)$ is the lattice of principal right ideals of R .

$\mathbb{L}(R)$ is a SCML.

Let R be a regular ring.

$\mathbb{L}(R)$ is the lattice of principal right ideals of R .

$\mathbb{L}(R)$ is a SCML.

If R is Artinian, then $\mathbb{L}(R)$ is a finite height CML.

(X, φ) is a **combinatorial geometry**, if it has the **exchange property**:

$$a \in \varphi(Y \cup \{b\}) \rightarrow b \in \varphi(Y \cup \{a\})$$

for any $a, b \in X$ and any $Y \subseteq X$.

(X, φ) is a **combinatorial geometry**, if it has the **exchange property**:

$$a \in \varphi(Y \cup \{b\}) \rightarrow b \in \varphi(Y \cup \{a\})$$

for any $a, b \in X$ and any $Y \subseteq X$.

Closure lattices of combinatorial geometries are **often** modular.

(X, φ) is a **combinatorial geometry**, if it has the **exchange property**:

$$a \in \varphi(Y \cup \{b\}) \rightarrow b \in \varphi(Y \cup \{a\})$$

for any $a, b \in X$ and any $Y \subseteq X$.

Closure lattices of combinatorial geometries are **often** modular.

Let $V_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a vector space over a division ring \mathbb{D} .

(X, φ) is a **combinatorial geometry**, if it has the **exchange property**:

$$a \in \varphi(Y \cup \{b\}) \rightarrow b \in \varphi(Y \cup \{a\})$$

for any $a, b \in X$ and any $Y \subseteq X$.

Closure lattices of combinatorial geometries are **often** modular.

Let $V_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a vector space over a division ring \mathbb{D} .

$\text{Sub}(V_{\mathbb{D}})$ is the subspace lattice.

(X, φ) is a **combinatorial geometry**, if it has the **exchange property**:

$$a \in \varphi(Y \cup \{b\}) \rightarrow b \in \varphi(Y \cup \{a\})$$

for any $a, b \in X$ and any $Y \subseteq X$.

Closure lattices of combinatorial geometries are **often** modular.

Let $V_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a vector space over a division ring \mathbb{D} .

$\text{Sub}(V_{\mathbb{D}})$ is the subspace lattice.

$\text{Sub}(V_{\mathbb{D}}) \cong \mathbb{L}(\text{End}(V_{\mathbb{D}}))$, $\text{End}(V_{\mathbb{D}})$ is a regular ring.

$\text{Sub}(V_{\mathbb{D}})$ is a subdirectly irreducible Arguesian SCL:

$\text{Sub}(V_{\mathbb{D}})$ is a subdirectly irreducible Arguesian SCL:

$$\forall x_0 x_1 x_2 y_0 y_1 y_2 \quad \bigwedge_{i < 3} (x_i \vee y_i) \leq (x_0 \wedge (x_1 \vee c)) \vee (y_0 \wedge (y_1 \vee c)),$$

where

$$c_i = (x_j \vee x_k) \wedge (y_j \vee y_k), \quad \{i, j, k\} = \{0, 1, 2\},$$

$$c = (c_0 \vee c_1) \wedge c_2.$$

$\text{Sub}(V_{\mathbb{D}})$ is a subdirectly irreducible Arguesian SCL:

$$\forall x_0 x_1 x_2 y_0 y_1 y_2 \bigwedge_{i < 3} (x_i \vee y_i) \leq (x_0 \wedge (x_1 \vee c)) \vee (y_0 \wedge (y_1 \vee c)),$$

where

$$c_i = (x_j \vee x_k) \wedge (y_j \vee y_k), \quad \{i, j, k\} = \{0, 1, 2\},$$
$$c = (c_0 \vee c_1) \wedge c_2.$$

If $\dim V_{\mathbb{D}} < \omega$, then $\text{Sub}(V_{\mathbb{D}})$ is simple finite height.

A partial converse is true:

A partial converse is true:

Theorem (von Neumann, 1939; Jónsson, 1960)

Let L be a simple Arguesian CL of finite height $n \geq 3$.

A partial converse is true:

Theorem (von Neumann, 1939; Jónsson, 1960)

Let L be a simple Artinian CL of finite height $n \geq 3$. Then there is a division ring \mathbb{D} such that $L \cong \text{Sub}(\mathbb{D}_\mathbb{D}^n)$.

Problem (Dilworth)

Is the class of lattices embeddable into CML-s (SCML-s) a variety?

Problem (Dilworth)

Is the class of lattices embeddable into CML-s (SCML-s) a variety?

Due to the Maltsev theorem, this class is a quasivariety.

Problem (Dilworth)

Is the class of lattices embeddable into CML-s (SCML-s) a variety?

Due to the Maltsev theorem, this class is a quasivariety.

Theorem (Jónsson, 1960)

The following are equivalent for a SCML:

Problem (Dilworth)

Is the class of lattices embeddable into CML-s (SCML-s) a variety?

Due to the Maltsev theorem, this class is a quasivariety.

Theorem (Jónsson, 1960)

The following are equivalent for a SCML:

- 1 $L \in \mathbf{S}(\text{Cl}(X, \varphi))$ for a projective geometry (X, φ) ;

Problem (Dilworth)

Is the class of lattices embeddable into CML-s (SCML-s) a variety?

Due to the Maltsev theorem, this class is a quasivariety.

Theorem (Jónsson, 1960)

The following are equivalent for a SCML:

- 1 $L \in \mathbf{S}(\text{Cl}(X, \varphi))$ for a projective geometry (X, φ) ;
- 2 $L \in \mathbf{S}(\text{Sub}(A))$ for an Abelian group A ;

Problem (Dilworth)

Is the class of lattices embeddable into CML-s (SCML-s) a variety?

Due to the Maltsev theorem, this class is a quasivariety.

Theorem (Jónsson, 1960)

The following are equivalent for a SCML:

- 1 $L \in \mathbf{S}(\text{Cl}(X, \varphi))$ for a projective geometry (X, φ) ;
- 2 $L \in \mathbf{S}(\text{Sub}(A))$ for an Abelian group A ;
- 3 $L \in \mathbf{S}(\prod_{i \in I} \text{Sub}(V_i))$, V_i is a vector space for all $i \in I$;

Problem (Dilworth)

Is the class of lattices embeddable into CML-s (SCML-s) a variety?

Due to the Maltsev theorem, this class is a quasivariety.

Theorem (Jónsson, 1960)

The following are equivalent for a SCML:

- 1 $L \in \mathbf{S}(\text{Cl}(X, \varphi))$ for a projective geometry (X, φ) ;
- 2 $L \in \mathbf{S}(\text{Sub}(A))$ for an Abelian group A ;
- 3 $L \in \mathbf{S}(\prod_{i \in I} \text{Sub}(V_i))$, V_i is a vector space for all $i \in I$;
- 4 L is Arguesian.

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

$$\mathbf{S}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma \cap \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$$

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

$$\mathbf{S}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma \cap \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$$

Definition

$\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$ is an \exists -variety, if it is closed under **H**, **S_∃**, and **P**.

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

$$\mathbf{S}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma \cap \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$$

Definition

$\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$ is an \exists -variety, if it is closed under **H**, **S**_∃, and **P**.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

$$\mathbf{S}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma \cap \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$$

Definition

$\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$ is an \exists -variety, if it is closed under **H**, **S**_∃, and **P**.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

- 1 $\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{HS}_{\exists}\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{K})$ is the smallest \exists -variety containing \mathcal{K} ;

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

$$\mathbf{S}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma \cap \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$$

Definition

$\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$ is an \exists -variety, if it is closed under \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{S}_{\exists} , and \mathbf{P} .

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

- 1 $\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{HS}_{\exists}\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{K})$ is the smallest \exists -variety containing \mathcal{K} ;
 moreover, $\mathbf{TV}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{VT}(\mathcal{K})$.

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

$$\mathbf{S}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma \cap \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$$

Definition

$\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$ is an \exists -variety, if it is closed under \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{S}_{\exists} , and \mathbf{P} .

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

- ① $\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{HS}_{\exists}\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{K})$ is the smallest \exists -variety containing \mathcal{K} ; moreover, $\mathbf{TV}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{VT}(\mathcal{K})$.
- ② The reduct of any free algebra from $\mathbf{VT}(\mathcal{K})$ belongs to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}_{\exists}}(\mathcal{K})$.

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

$$\mathbf{S}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma \cap \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$$

Definition

$\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$ is an \exists -variety, if it is closed under \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{S}_{\exists} , and \mathbf{P} .

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

- ① $\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{HS}_{\exists}\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{K})$ is the smallest \exists -variety containing \mathcal{K} ; moreover, $\mathbf{TV}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{VT}(\mathcal{K})$.
- ② The reduct of any free algebra from $\mathbf{VT}(\mathcal{K})$ belongs to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}_{\exists}}(\mathcal{K})$.
- ③ Any SI algebra from $\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K})$ belongs to $\mathbf{HS}_{\exists}\mathbf{P}_u(\mathcal{K})$.

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

$$\mathbf{S}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma \cap \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$$

Definition

$\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$ is an \exists -variety, if it is closed under **H**, **S**_∃, and **P**.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod} \Sigma$.

- ① $\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{HS}_{\exists}\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{K})$ is the smallest \exists -variety containing \mathcal{K} ; moreover, $\mathbf{TV}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{VT}(\mathcal{K})$.
- ② The reduct of any free algebra from $\mathbf{VT}(\mathcal{K})$ belongs to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}_{\exists}}(\mathcal{K})$.
- ③ Any SI algebra from $\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathcal{K})$ belongs to $\mathbf{HS}_{\exists}\mathbf{P}_u(\mathcal{K})$.
- ④ Any \exists -variety is generated by its finitely generated SI-s.

Free algebras exist in \exists -varieties.

Free algebras exist in \exists -varieties.

Any \exists -variety can be defined by positive sentences as well as by Horn sentences.

Free algebras exist in \exists -varieties.

Any \exists -variety can be defined by positive sentences as well as by Horn sentences.

Problem

Can an \exists -variety be defined by positive Horn sentences?

Regular rings

Regular rings

Theorem

$\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathbb{F}^{n \times n} \mid n_0 < n < \omega, \mathbb{F} \text{ is a quotient field of } \Lambda)$ is the \exists -variety of regular Λ -algebras.

Regular rings

Theorem

$\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathbb{F}^{n \times n} \mid n_0 < n < \omega, \mathbb{F} \text{ is a quotient field of } \Lambda)$ is the \exists -variety of regular Λ -algebras.

Corollary

- 1 $\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n} \mid n_0 < n < \omega, p \text{ is prime})$ is the \exists -variety of regular rings.

Regular rings

Theorem

$\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathbb{F}^{n \times n} \mid n_0 < n < \omega, \mathbb{F} \text{ is a quotient field of } \Lambda)$ is the \exists -variety of regular Λ -algebras.

Corollary

- 1 $\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n} \mid n_0 < n < \omega, p \text{ is prime})$ is the \exists -variety of regular rings.
- 2 Free regular rings are residually finite.

Regular rings

Theorem

$\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathbb{F}^{n \times n} \mid n_0 < n < \omega, \mathbb{F} \text{ is a quotient field of } \Lambda)$ is the \exists -variety of regular Λ -algebras.

Corollary

- 1 $\mathbf{V}_{\exists}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n} \mid n_0 < n < \omega, p \text{ is prime})$ is the \exists -variety of regular rings.
- 2 Free regular rings are residually finite.
- 3 The equational theory of regular rings with quasi-inversion as a fundamental operation is decidable.

Theorem

Let R be a SI non-Artinian regular Λ -algebra.

Theorem

Let R be a SI non-Artinian regular Λ -algebra. There is a field F :

$$V_{\exists}(R) = V_{\exists}(F^{n \times n} \mid n_0 < n < \omega).$$

Theorem

Let R be a SI non-Artinian regular Λ -algebra. There is a field F :
$$V_{\exists}(R) = V_{\exists}(F^{n \times n} \mid n_0 < n < \omega).$$

Corollary

Any \exists -variety of regular Λ -algebras is generated by its simple Artinian members.

Theorem

*Let R be a SI non-Artinian regular Λ -algebra. There is a field F :
 $V_{\exists}(R) = V_{\exists}(F^{n \times n} \mid n_0 < n < \omega)$.*

Corollary

Any \exists -variety of regular Λ -algebras is generated by its simple Artinian members.

Corollary

Free regular Λ -algebras are residually Artinian.

Theorem

*Let R be a SI non-Artinian regular Λ -algebra. There is a field F :
 $V_{\exists}(R) = V_{\exists}(F^{n \times n} \mid n_0 < n < \omega)$.*

Corollary

Any \exists -variety of regular Λ -algebras is generated by its simple Artinian members.

Corollary

Free regular Λ -algebras are residually Artinian.

Goodearl, Menal, and Moncasi (1993) proved the latter statement for algebras with unit.

For an \exists -variety \mathcal{V} of regular rings,
 $C(\mathcal{V})$ is the class of simple Artinian members of \mathcal{V} .

For an \exists -variety \mathcal{V} of regular rings,
 $C(\mathcal{V})$ is the class of simple Artinian members of \mathcal{V} .

By the Wedderburn-Artin theorem, $C(\mathcal{V})$ consists of matrix rings
over division rings.

For a class \mathcal{C} of simple Artinian regular rings and for $n > 0$:

$$D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad D^{n \times n} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

For a class \mathcal{C} of simple Artinian regular rings and for $n > 0$:

$$D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad D^{n \times n} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

For a class \mathcal{C} of simple Artinian regular rings and for $n > 0$:

$$D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad D^{n \times n} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;

For a class \mathcal{C} of simple Artinian regular rings and for $n > 0$:

$$D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad D^{n \times n} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;
- 2 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for all $n \geq m > 0$;

For a class \mathcal{C} of simple Artinian regular rings and for $n > 0$:

$$D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad D^{n \times n} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;
- 2 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for all $n \geq m > 0$;
- 3 if $n = mk > 0$, $F \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$, and $D \in S(F^{k \times k})$ is a division ring, then $D \in \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$;

For a class \mathcal{C} of simple Artinian regular rings and for $n > 0$:

$$D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad D^{n \times n} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;
- 2 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for all $n \geq m > 0$;
- 3 if $n = mk > 0$, $F \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$, and $D \in S(F^{k \times k})$ is a division ring, then $D \in \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$;
- 4 p is a prime;
if for any $n > 0$, there is $D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ with $\text{char } D = p$, then $F \in \bigcap_{n>0} \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ for any F with $\text{char } F = p$;

For a class \mathcal{C} of simple Artinian regular rings and for $n > 0$:

$$D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad D^{n \times n} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;
- 2 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for all $n \geq m > 0$;
- 3 if $n = mk > 0$, $F \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$, and $D \in S(F^{k \times k})$ is a division ring, then $D \in \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$;
- 4 p is a prime;
if for any $n > 0$, there is $D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ with $\text{char } D = p$, then $F \in \bigcap_{n>0} \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ for any F with $\text{char } F = p$;
- 5 $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathcal{C})$ is the class of all division rings.

Theorem

*Let \mathcal{C} be a class of simple Artinian regular rings.
 \mathcal{C} is closed if and only if $\mathcal{C} = C(\mathcal{V})$ for an \exists -variety of regular rings.*

Sectionally complemented modular lattices

Sectionally complemented modular lattices

Theorem

Let L be a SI modular SCL of infinite height.

Sectionally complemented modular lattices

Theorem

Let L be a SI modular SCL of infinite height. There is a unique prime field \mathbb{F} such that $V_{\exists}(L) = V_{\exists}(\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}) \mid n_0 < n < \omega)$.

Sectionally complemented modular lattices

Theorem

Let L be a SI modular SCL of infinite height. There is a unique prime field \mathbb{F} such that $V_{\exists}(L) = V_{\exists}(\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}) \mid n_0 < n < \omega)$.

Corollary

Any \exists -variety of SCML is generated by its simple finite height members.

Corollary

- 1 $V_{\exists}(\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}) \mid n_0 < n < \omega, p \text{ is prime})$ is the variety of *Arguesian SCL*.

Corollary

- 1 $V_{\exists}(\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}) \mid n_0 < n < \omega, p \text{ is prime})$ is the variety of *Arguesian SCL*.
- 2 *Free Arguesian SCL are residually finite.*

Corollary

- 1 $V_{\exists}(\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}) \mid n_0 < n < \omega, p \text{ is prime})$ is the variety of Arguesian SCL.
- 2 Free Arguesian SCL are residually finite.
- 3 Equational theory of Arguesian lattices with sectional complementation as a fundamental operation is decidable.

Corollary

- 1 $V_{\exists}(\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}) \mid n_0 < n < \omega, p \text{ is prime})$ is the variety of Arguesian SCL.
- 2 Free Arguesian SCL are residually finite.
- 3 Equational theory of Arguesian lattices with sectional complementation as a fundamental operation is decidable.

Corollary

Equational theory of modular lattices with sectional complementation is decidable.

For an \exists -variety \mathcal{V} of Arguesian SCL, $C(\mathcal{V})$ is the class of its simple Arguesian finite height members.

For an \exists -variety \mathcal{V} of Arguesian SCL, $C(\mathcal{V})$ is the class of its simple Arguesian finite height members.

By the von-Neumann-Jónsson coordinatization theorem, any $L \in C(\mathcal{V})$ with $\text{ht } L \geq 3$ is of the form $\mathbb{L}(D_D^n)$.

For a class \mathcal{C} of simple Arguesian finite height SCL and for $n > 0$:

$$D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathbb{L}(D_D^n) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;
- 2 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for all $n \geq m > 0$;

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;
- 2 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for all $n \geq m > 0$;
- 3 if $n = mk > 0$, $F \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$, and $D \in S(F^{k \times k})$ is a division ring, then $D \in \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$;

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;
- 2 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for all $n \geq m > 0$;
- 3 if $n = mk > 0$, $F \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$, and $D \in S(F^{k \times k})$ is a division ring, then $D \in \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$;
- 4 p is a prime;
if for any $n > 0$, there is $D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ with $\text{char } D = p$, then $F \in \bigcap_{n>0} \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ for any F with $\text{char } F = p$;

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;
- 2 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for all $n \geq m > 0$;
- 3 if $n = mk > 0$, $F \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$, and $D \in S(F^{k \times k})$ is a division ring, then $D \in \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$;
- 4 p is a prime;
if for any $n > 0$, there is $D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ with $\text{char } D = p$, then $F \in \bigcap_{n>0} \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ for any F with $\text{char } F = p$;
- 5 if $D \in \mathbf{D}_2(\mathcal{C})$ and $|F| \leq |D|$, then $F \in \mathbf{D}_2(\mathcal{C})$;

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;
- 2 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for all $n \geq m > 0$;
- 3 if $n = mk > 0$, $F \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$, and $D \in S(F^{k \times k})$ is a division ring, then $D \in \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$;
- 4 p is a prime;
if for any $n > 0$, there is $D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ with $\text{char } D = p$, then $F \in \bigcap_{n>0} \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ for any F with $\text{char } F = p$;
- 5 if $D \in \mathbf{D}_2(\mathcal{C})$ and $|F| \leq |D|$, then $F \in \mathbf{D}_2(\mathcal{C})$;
if $M_k \in \mathcal{C}$ for $k < \omega$, then $M_n \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $2 < n \leq k$;

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;
- 2 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for all $n \geq m > 0$;
- 3 if $n = mk > 0$, $F \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$, and $D \in S(F^{k \times k})$ is a division ring, then $D \in \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$;
- 4 p is a prime;
if for any $n > 0$, there is $D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ with $\text{char } D = p$, then $F \in \bigcap_{n>0} \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ for any F with $\text{char } F = p$;
- 5 if $D \in \mathbf{D}_2(\mathcal{C})$ and $|F| \leq |D|$, then $F \in \mathbf{D}_2(\mathcal{C})$;
if $M_k \in \mathcal{C}$ for $k < \omega$, then $M_n \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $2 < n \leq k$;

Definition

\mathcal{C} is **closed**, if the following holds:

- 1 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is a universal class of division rings for all $n > 0$;
- 2 $\mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for all $n \geq m > 0$;
- 3 if $n = mk > 0$, $F \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$, and $D \in S(F^{k \times k})$ is a division ring, then $D \in \mathbf{D}_m(\mathcal{C})$;
- 4 p is a prime;
if for any $n > 0$, there is $D \in \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ with $\text{char } D = p$, then $F \in \bigcap_{n>0} \mathbf{D}_n(\mathcal{C})$ for any F with $\text{char } F = p$;
- 5 if $D \in \mathbf{D}_2(\mathcal{C})$ and $|F| \leq |D|$, then $F \in \mathbf{D}_2(\mathcal{C})$;
if $M_k \in \mathcal{C}$ for $k < \omega$, then $M_n \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $2 < n \leq k$;
- 6 $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathcal{C})$ is the class of all division rings.

Theorem

*Let \mathcal{C} be a class of simple Arguesian finite height SCL.
 \mathcal{C} is closed if and only if $\mathcal{C} = C(\mathcal{V})$ for an \exists -variety of Arguesian SCL.*

Problem

Is the class of lattices embeddable into SCML-s a variety?

Problem

Is the class of lattices embeddable into SCML-s a variety?

Corollary

If L embeds into a SCML, then $\text{Id}(L)$ does.