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#### Abstract

We show that any minimal Cayley graph of a (finitely generated) generalized dihedral or nilpotent group has chromatic number at most 3 , while 4 colors are sometimes necessary for soluble groups. On the other hand we construct graphs of unbounded chromatic number that admit a proper edge coloring such that each cycle has some color at least twice. The latter disproves a conjecture of Babai' 78 that would have implied that all minimal Cayley graphs have bounded chromatic number - a problem that remains open.


## 1. Introduction

Given a group $\Gamma$ and a connection set $C \subseteq \Gamma$ the (undirected, right) Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)$ has vertex set $\Gamma$ and $a, b \in \Gamma$ form an edge if $a^{-1} b \in C$. A Cayley graph is minimal (a.k.a irreducible) if $C$ is an inclusion-minimal generating set of $\Gamma$ and in this paper we only consider the case where $C$ is finite. Minimal Cayley graphs appear naturally: a famous and open problem often attributed to Lovász is (equivalent to) whether minimal Cayley graphs are Hamiltonian, see [27, Section 4]. Other areas in which minimal Cayley graphs naturally occur are the genus of a group, see the book [32] or concerning sensitivity [14, Questions 7.7 and 8.2]. Different aspects of these graphs (sometimes of particular groups) and their distinguishing features compared to general Cayley graphs have been considered in [12,15,19,21,24,30]. The present work is motivated by a question that has been brought up by Babai [3,5]:

Question 1.1. Does there exist a constant $c$ such that every minimal Cayley graph has chromatic number at most $c$ ?

Note that assuming minimality here is essential. Indeed, every graph is an induced subgraph of some Cayley graph [4,6,16], this is far from being the case for minimal Cayley graphs, which are known to be sparse [3,31]. However, there also exist (non-minimal) sparse Cayley graphs (of arbitrary girth) that are expanders and hence have unbounded chromatic number [22]. The chromatic number of random Cayley graphs has been studied by Alon [2] and Green [17] as well as some concrete Cayley graphs have been considered recently [9,10]. However, none of these are minimal. Concerning, the chromatic number of minimal Cayley graphs in [5, Section 3.4] Babai mentions the following:

[^0]Conjecture 1.2. For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a minimal Cayley graph $G=(V, E)$ such that $\alpha(G) \leq \varepsilon|V|$ where $\alpha(G)$ denotes the size of the largest independent set of $G$.

Hence, this in particular would imply a negative answer to Question 1.1. However, previously in [3] Babai proposed an opposing conjecture, for which we introduce some notions first. A graph $G$ is called no lonely color if $G$ admits an edge coloring satisfying:
(1) each vertex is incident with at most two edges of any color,
(2) each circuit contains no color exactly once.

In a Cayley graph the edges can be naturally colored by the elements of $C$, which makes it easy to see that any subgraph $G$ of a minimal Cayley graph is no lonely color. A Cayley graph Cay ( $\Gamma, C$ ) is semiminimal if $C$ is a generating set of $\Gamma$ that can be linearly ordered such that none of its elements is generated by its predecessors. With the same ideas one can show that any subgraph $G$ of a semiminimal Cayley graph is one popular color ${ }^{1}$, this is, $G$ admits an edge coloring that satisfies (1) and
(2') each circuit contains at least one color more than once.
Babai observes that $K_{4}-e$ and $K_{3,5}$ are not no lonely color and $K_{5,17}$ is not one popular color, hence they cannot be subgraphs of minimal and semiminimal Cayley graphs, respectively. Later using these ideas Spencer [31] shows that for every $g \geq 3$ there exists a finite graph $G$ of girth $g$ that is not a subgraph of any (semi)minimal Cayley graph. In [3, Conjecture 3.5] Babai puts forward the following:

Conjecture 1.3. There is a constant $c$ such that any one popular color graph (no lonely color graph) has chromatic number at most $c$.

Note that Conjecture 1.3 in particular implies that (semi)minimal Cayley graphs have bounded chromatic number and hence would disprove the more recent Conjecture 1.2 and give a positive answer to Question 1.1.


Figure 1. Left: $\operatorname{Cay}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{7},\{(0,1),(1,0)\}\right)$ is a minimal Cayley graph of chromatic number 4. Right: $G$, such that $G \boxtimes K_{2}=\operatorname{Cay}\left(Q_{32}, C\right)$ is a semiminimal Cayley graph of chromatic number 7 , being $Q_{32}=\langle a, b| a^{16}=b^{4}=1, a^{8}=b^{2}, a b a=$ $b\rangle$ the generalized quaternion group or order 32 and $C=\left(b^{2}, a^{4}, a^{5} b, a^{3} b, a^{6} b\right)$. The graph $G$ is induced by vertices $\left\{b^{i} a^{j} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 1,0 \leq j \leq 7\right\}$, edges corresponding to right multiplication by $a^{4}, a^{5} b, a^{4} b$ and $a^{14} b$ are depicted in blue, gray, green and violet, respectively.

This is the starting point for the present work: First, we observe that every group admits a minimal Cayley graph of chromatic number at most 3 and characterize the bipartite case (Theorem

[^1]2.2). Then we show that minimal Cayley graphs of finitely generated nilpotent groups (Theorem 2.5) as well as generalized dihedral groups (Theorem 2.6) have chromatic number at most 3 . On the other hand, we show a minimal Cayley graph of a soluble group of chromatic number 4 and a semiminimal Cayley graph of a nilpotent group of chromatic number 7 (see Figure 1). Finally, we disprove the stronger statement of Conjecture 1.3 by constructing a family of one popular color graphs of unbounded chromatic number (Theorem 3.2). We further remark, that the clique number of a semiminimal Cayley graph is at most 4 (Proposition 3.1).

## 2. Positive results

Let us begin with the question whether every group admits a minimal Cayley graph of bounded chromatic number. This was already answered by Babai [3,5]. Indeed, he proved that every group has a 3-colorable minimal Cayley graph contingent upon the truth of some facts about simple groups that were conjectured at that time (see [3, Conjecture 4.3]). Years later the classification of finite simple groups was settled and those conjectures were verified. For completeness we include a proof following Babai's lines. At the basis of this we have the following [3, Lemma 4.2], which will also use later on. For a group $\Gamma$ denote its minimum chromatic number y $\chi_{\min }(\Gamma)$ the minimum $\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C))$ over all generating sets $C$ of $\Gamma$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $(\Gamma, \cdot)$ be a group, $N$ a normal subgroup of $\Gamma$ and $C \subseteq \Gamma / N$. Then,

$$
\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C \cdot N)) \leq \chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma / N, C)) ;
$$

where $C \cdot N:=\{c \cdot n \mid c \cdot N \in C, n \in N\}$. In particular, $\chi_{\min }(\Gamma) \leq \chi_{\min }(\Gamma / N)$.
Combining this also with ideas present in [12] we get:
Theorem 2.2. For a group $\Gamma$ we have:

$$
\chi_{\min }(\Gamma)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \Gamma \text { is trivial } \\ 2 & \text { if } \Gamma \text { has a subgroup of index } 2 \\ 3 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The statement that $\chi_{\min }(\Gamma)=1$ if and only if $\Gamma$ is trivial, is trivial. Now, by Lemma 2.1 $\chi_{\min }(\Gamma) \leq \chi_{\min }(\Gamma / N)$ for any normal subgroup $N$ of $\Gamma$. If $\Gamma$ has a subgroup of index 2 , then it is normal an the quotient is $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and has a bipartite Cayley graph. In general, this argument reduces the question to simple groups. Since each such group $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is either cyclic or has a generating set $C^{\prime}$ of size 2 containing an involution [20], $\operatorname{Cay}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)$ has maximum degree 3 and is different from $K_{4}$. Hence $\chi\left(\operatorname{Cay}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq 3$. It remains to show that if $\chi_{\min }(\Gamma)=2$, then $\Gamma$ has a subgroup of index 2 . In this case, $\Gamma$ may be partitioned into two independent sets $A, B$, which by vertex transitivity of $\Gamma$ are of equal size. If say $e \in A$, then $A$ consists of all elements of $\Gamma$ that can be expressed as an word of even length in $C$. Hence, $A$ is a subgroup of index 2 .

We now turn to the maximum chromatic number of a group $\Gamma$, i.e., $\chi_{\max }(\Gamma)$ the maximum $\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C))$ over all minimal generating sets $C$ of $\Gamma$. We will show that this is at most 3 for Dedekind groups, generalized dihedral groups, and nilpotent groups.

If $H<\Gamma$ is a subgroup and $C \subseteq \Gamma$, the Schreier (coset) graph $\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma / H, C)$ has as vertices the left cosets of $H$ and there is an edge between two cosets if they can be represented as $g H, g^{\prime} H$ and $g^{-1} g^{\prime} \in C$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $C$ be a minimal generating set of $\Gamma$, then

$$
\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)) \leq \max \{\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma /\langle C-\{c\}\rangle, c)) \mid c \in C\}
$$

Proof. Since $C=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\}$ is minimal, for each $c \in C$ the graph $\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C-\{c\})$ is disconnected and its connected components correspond to the vertices of $\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma /\langle C-\{c\}\rangle, c)$. Moreover, if two vertices $x, y$ of $\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)$ are connected with an edge corresponding to $c$, then $x, y$ are contained in different components of $\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C-\{c\})$ corresponding to adjacent
vertices $x_{c}, y_{c}$ of $\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma /\langle C-\{c\}\rangle, c)$. Hence, if we map every vertex of $x \in \operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)$ to the tuple of vertices $\left(x_{c_{1}}, \ldots, x_{c_{k}}\right)$ we obtain a graph homomorphism into the Cartesian product $\operatorname{Cay}\left(\Gamma /\left\langle C-\left\{c_{1}\right\}\right\rangle, c_{1}\right) \square \cdots \square \operatorname{Cay}\left(\Gamma /\left\langle C-\left\{c_{k}\right\}\right\rangle, c_{k}\right)$. Hence, the chromatic number of the latter is an upper bound for $\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C))$. Finally, by a well-known result of Sabidussi [29], the chromatic number of a Cartesian product is the maximum chromatic number of its factors.
Remark 2.4. Note that Lemma 2.3 alone does not give a useful upper bound for general minimal Cayley graphs. Consider the so-called star graph (see [1],) which is the bipartite graph Cay ( $S_{n}, C$ ) of the symmetric group of degree $n$ with respect to the set of transpositions involving 1 , i.e., $C=\{(12),(13), \ldots,(1 n)\})$. However, $\left.\operatorname{Cay}\left(S_{n} /\langle C-\{c\}\rangle, c\right)\right)=K_{n}$ for all $n$ and $c \in C$.

We can however use the above lemma to bound the maximum chromatic in some cases. A group is called Dedekind if all its subgroups are normal. Clearly, this includes all abelian groups, and by results of Dedekind [11] and Baer [7], actually not much more.
Theorem 2.5. Every minimal Cayley graph of a Dedekind group is 3-colorable.
Proof. Consider Cay $(\Gamma, C)$ a minimal Cayley graph of a Dedekind group $\Gamma$. Since $\Gamma$ is Dedekind, for all $c \in C$ we have that $\langle C-\{c\}\rangle$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma$. Hence, $\Gamma /\langle C-\{c\}\rangle$ is a cyclic group generated by the coset of $c$, i.e., the Schreier coset graph (which is a Cayley graph) is a cycle and $\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma /\langle C-\{c\}\rangle, c)) \leq 3$. The statement follows from Lemma 2.3.

For any abelian group $\Gamma$, the generalized dihedral group of $\Gamma$, written $\operatorname{Dih}(\Gamma)$, is the semidirect product of $\Gamma$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ acting on $\Gamma$ by inverting elements, i.e., $\operatorname{Dih}(\Gamma)=\Gamma \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ with $\phi(0)$ the identity, and $\phi(1)$ the inversion. Thus we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(g_{1}, 0\right) *\left(g_{2}, t_{2}\right)=\left(g_{1}+g_{2}, t_{2}\right), \text { and } \\
& \left(g_{1}, 1\right) *\left(g_{2}, t_{2}\right)=\left(g_{1}-g_{2}, 1+t_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \Gamma$, and $t_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
Theorem 2.6. Every minimal Cayley graph of a generalized dihedral group is 3-colorable.
Proof. Let $G=\operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dih}(\Gamma), C)$ be the Cayley graph of a generalized dihedral group $\operatorname{Dih}(\Gamma)=$ $\Gamma \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ minimally generated by $C$. We denote $C_{i}=C \cap(\Gamma \times\{i\})$ for $i=0,1$, and consider $H:=(\Gamma \times\{0\}) \cap\left\langle C_{1}\right\rangle$. By the minimality of $C$ we have that:
(a) the vertices corresponding to elements of $H$ form an independent set in $G$,
(b) The cosets of $C_{0}$ minimally generate the abelian group $(\Gamma \times\{0\}) / H$.

By (b) and Theorem 2.5, it follows that $\operatorname{Cay}\left((\Gamma \times\{0\}) / H, C_{0}\right)$ is 3 -colorable. We consider $\tilde{f}:(\Gamma \times\{0\}) / H \longrightarrow\{0,1,2\}$ a proper coloring. By (a), we have that $\tilde{f}: \Gamma \times\{0\} \longrightarrow\{0,1,2\}$ defined as $f(g, 0):=\tilde{f}((g, 0) * H)$ is also a proper coloring of $\operatorname{Cay}\left(\Gamma \times\{0\}, C_{0}\right)$. Now we choose $(y, 1) \in C_{1}$ and consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
h: \quad \operatorname{Dih}(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow\{0,1,2\} \\
(g, 0) & \mapsto f(g, 0) \\
(g, 1) & \mapsto f(g-y, 0)+1 \bmod 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that $h$ is a proper 3 -coloring of $G$. Indeed, consider $\left(g_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(g_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ two adjacent vertices of $G$ and let us prove that $f\left(g_{1}, t_{1}\right) \neq f\left(g_{2}, t_{2}\right)$. We separate the proof in three cases:
(1) If $t_{1}=t_{2}=0$, then $\left(g_{1}, 0\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}, 0\right)$ are adjacent in $\operatorname{Cay}\left(\Gamma \times\{0\}, C_{0}\right)$ and, hence, $h\left(g_{1}, 0\right)=f\left(g_{1}, 0\right) \neq f\left(g_{2}, 0\right)=h\left(g_{2}, 0\right)$.
(2) If $t_{1}=t_{2}=1$, then $\left(g_{1}, 1\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}, 1\right)$ are adjacent if and only if there exist $(x, 0) \in C_{0}$ such that $\left(g_{1}, 1\right)=\left(g_{2}, 1\right) *(x, 0)=\left(g_{2}-x, 1\right)$. Then,

$$
\left(g_{1}-y, 0\right)=\left(g_{1}, 1\right) *(y, 1)=\left(g_{2}-x, 1\right) *(y, 1)=\left(g_{2}-y-x, 0\right)
$$

and, hence, $\left(g_{1}-y, 0\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}-y, 0\right)$ are adjacent in $\operatorname{Cay}\left(\Gamma \times\{0\}, C_{0}\right)$. Thus, we conclude that $h\left(g_{1}, 1\right)=f\left(g_{1}-y, 0\right)+1 \neq f\left(g_{2}-y, 0\right)+1=h\left(g_{2}, 1\right)$.
(3) If $t_{1}=0, t_{2}=1$, then $\left(g_{1}, 0\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}, 1\right)$ are adjacent if and only if there exist $(z, 1) \in C_{1}$ such that $\left(g_{2}, 1\right)=\left(g_{1}, 0\right) *(z, 1)=\left(g_{1}+z, 1\right)$. Then,
$h\left(g_{2}, 1\right)=f\left(g_{1}+z-y, 0\right)+1 \bmod 3=f\left(g_{1}, 0\right)+1 \bmod 3 \neq f\left(g_{1}, 0\right)=h\left(g_{1}, 0\right)$,
where the equality $f\left(g_{1}+z-y, 0\right)=f\left(g_{1}, 0\right)$ follows from the fact that $(z-y, 0)=$ $(z, 1) *(y, 1) \in H$.

For the next result, we denote by $\Phi(\Gamma)$ the Frattini subgroup of $\Gamma$, that is, the intersection of all maximal proper subgroups of $\Gamma$, or $\Phi(\Gamma)=\{e\}$ if it has no maximal subgroups.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\Gamma$ be a group with Frattini subgroup $\Phi(\Gamma)$, then:

$$
\chi_{\max }(\Gamma) \leq \chi_{\max }(\Gamma / \Phi(\Gamma))
$$

Proof. For $\Gamma$ a group and $C$ any minimal generating set. The following remarkable properties of the Frattini subgroup are well known (see, e.g., [28, Section 5.2]):
(1) $\Phi(\Gamma)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\Gamma$ and, hence, $\Phi(\Gamma) \unlhd \Gamma$,
(2) $\Phi(\Gamma) \cap C=\emptyset$, and
(3) $C / \Phi(\Gamma)=\{c \cdot \Phi(\Gamma) \mid c \in C\}$ is a minimal generating set of $\Gamma / \Phi(\Gamma)$.

By (1), (2) and Lemma 2.1, one has that $\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)) \leq \chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma / \phi(\Gamma), C / \Phi(\Gamma)))$. By (3) $\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma / \phi(\Gamma), C / \Phi(\Gamma))) \leq \chi_{\max }(\Gamma / \phi(\Gamma))$, and the result follows.

For a group $(\Gamma, \cdot)$, we denote by $\Gamma^{\prime}$ its commutator subgroup, i.e.,

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{x \cdot y \cdot x^{-1} \cdot y^{-1} \mid x, y \in \Gamma\right\}
$$

Theorem 2.8. Let $\Gamma$ be a finitely generated group such that its commutator $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is contained in its Frattini subgroup $\Phi(\Gamma)$. Then, every minimal Cayley graph of $\Gamma$ is 3-colorable. This includes nilpotent groups.

Proof. Let $G=\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)$ be the Cayley graph of $\Gamma$ with respect to a minimal set of generators $C$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime} \subseteq \Phi(\Gamma)$, then it follows that $\Gamma / \Phi(\Gamma)$ is commutative and minimally generated by $C / \Phi(\Gamma)$. Then, by Theorem 2.5, $\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma / \Phi(\Gamma), C / \Phi(\Gamma))$ is 3-colorable. Since $C \subset(C / \Phi(\Gamma))$ • $\Phi(\Gamma)$, by Lemma 2.1 we conclude that $\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)$ is 3 -colorable.

A group $\Gamma$ satisfies that $\Gamma^{\prime}<\Phi(\Gamma)$ if and only if all its maximal subgroups have prime index (see [25, Theorem A] for other characterizations of these groups). In particular, every nilpotent group satisfies that $\Gamma^{\prime} \leq \Phi(\Gamma)$. A result of Wielandt $[28,5.2 .16]$ proves that for a finite group $\Gamma$, one has that $\Gamma^{\prime} \leq \Phi(\Gamma)$ if and only if $\Gamma$ is nilpotent. However, there are non-nilpotent infinite groups whose commutator is contained in its corresponding Frattini subgroup. A famous such group is the Grigorchuk group, which is a finitely generated 2-group in which all maximal subgroups have index 2. In fact $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Phi(\Gamma)$, and has index 8 in $\Gamma$ [18]. Other examples are considered in [13].

Let us end this section with a general upper bound for the chromatic number of (semi)minimal Cayley graphs. For this purpose given a positive integer $n$, we denote by $W_{b}(n)$ the binary Lambert $W$ function, i.e., $n=W_{b}(n) 2^{W_{b}(n)}$.
Proposition 2.9. Let $\Gamma$ be a group of order $n$ and $C$ be a generating set. We have

$$
\chi(\Gamma, C) \leq \begin{cases}2 \log _{2} n & \text { if } C \text { is semiminimal }, \\ 2 W_{b}(n) & \text { if } C \text { is minimal } .\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, $W_{b}(n)<\log _{2} n-\log _{2} \log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{\log _{2} n}\right)$.
Proof. Let $C=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right)$ a semiminimal generating set of $\Gamma$. One has that $\Gamma_{i-1}:=\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots, c_{i-1}\right\rangle$ is a proper subgroup of $\Gamma_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and, by Lagrange's Theorem, we have $2^{i} \leq\left|\Gamma_{i}\right|$. Hence $k=|C| \leq \log _{2}(|\Gamma|)=\log _{2} n$. Thus, the maximum degree of $\operatorname{Cay}(G, C)$ is at most
$2 \log _{2} n$. By Brook's Theorem, this is an upper bound for $\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(G, C))$ except if $\operatorname{Cay}(G, C)$ is an odd cycle or a clique. However, in the first case $\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(G, C))=3 \leq 2 \log _{2}(2 \ell+1)$ for all $\ell \geq 1$. If otherwise $\operatorname{Cay}(G, C)=K_{n}$ is a clique, by Proposition 3.1 we know that $n=4$ and $\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(G, C))=4 \leq 2 \log _{2}(4)$.

Now suppose $C$ minimal. Again by Lagrange's Theorem, for any $c \in C$ the subgroup $\langle C-\{c\}\rangle$ has order at least $2^{k-1}$. Hence, the Schreier graph of this subgroup has at most $\frac{n}{2^{k-1}}$ vertices, and $\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma /\langle C-\{c\}\rangle, c)) \leq \frac{n}{2^{k-1}}$. Thus, with the first part and Lemma 2.3 we have that $\chi(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)) \leq \min \left(2 k, \frac{n}{2^{k-1}}\right)$, which is maximised exactly if $k=W_{b}(n)$. By definition we have that $W_{b}(n)=\log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{W_{b}(n)}\right)=\log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{\log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{W_{b}(n)}\right)}\right)$. Moreover we clearly have clearly $W_{b}(n)<$ $\log _{2}(n)$, then we finally get that $W_{b}(n)<\log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{\log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{\log _{2}(n)}\right)}\right)=\log _{2} n-\log _{2} \log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{\log _{2} n}\right)$.

Note that the bounds in the previous proposition depend on the maximal size of a minimal generating set of a group $\Gamma$. This parameter has been studied, see e.g. [8,23].

## 3. LOWER BOUNDS

Already in [3] it is shown that minimal Cayley graphs have clique number at most 3 and it also follows from the results there, that semiminimal Cayley graphs have bounded clique number. We first make this precise.

Proposition 3.1. For any one popular color graph $G$ we have $\omega(G) \leq 5$ and this is tight. For a semiminimal Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)$ we have $\omega(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)) \leq 4$ and this is tight.

Proof. Suppose a one popular color coloring of $K_{6}$ and consider an edge $a b$ of color 1 and the four triangles $a b c, a b d, a b e, a b f$. At most two among these four triangles have popular color 1. If two of these triangles, say $a b c, a b d$ have one popular color among different colors 2,3 , then the triangle $a c d$ has no popular color. Hence two triangles say $a b c, a b d$ have popular color 2 then the triangles $a b e, a b f$ must have popular color 1 . So assume that the edge $a e$ is colored in 1 . But then the edges $e c$ and $e d$ both must be of color 1 to make triangles aec and aed have a popular color. But then the degree of $e$ in color 1 is 3 .

To show tightness just edge-color $K_{5}$ with two colors each inducing a cycle of length 5 . Since we are only using two colors, it is straight-forward to check that all cycles have a popular color. Let us now, see that this is the only way to one popular color color the $K_{5}$. Let $a b$ an edge of color 1 and consider the three triangles $a b c, a b d, a b e$. If two of these triangles, say $a b c, a b d$ have one popular color because of different colors 2,3 , then the triangle $a c d$ has no popular color. If two triangles say $a b c, a b d$ have one popular color because of color 2 then the triangle abe must have popular color 1 , say the edge $b e$ is of color 1 and both the edges $c e, d e$ must be of color 1 in order to make triangle $b c e, b d e$ have a popular color. But then the degree of $e$ in color 1 is 3 . Suppose now that only the triangle $a b c$ has popular color 2 , then both $a b d$, abe have popular color 1 and without loss of generality we have edges $a d$, be of color 1 . Now $b d$ cannot be of color 1 (because the degree of $b$ in color 1 would be 3 ), and cannot be of color 3 , because this would force the edge $c d$ to be of color 3 , but then the triangle $a c d$ would have no popular color. Hence $b d$ is of color 2, and by an analogous argument also ae is of color 2 . Now, $c d$ is forced to be of color $1, d e$ of color 2 , and $c e$ of color 1 . The resulting two-coloring is a decomposition into two cycles of length 5 .

Suppose now that a semiminimal Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)$ contains a $K_{5}$, then as argued above its one popular color coloring consists of two cycles of length 5 . Hence the corresponding elements $c, c^{\prime} \in C$ generate the same cyclic subgroup of order 5 of $\Gamma$. Hence $C$ is not semiminimal. Thus, $\omega(\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, C)) \leq 4$. To see that this is tight simply consider $\operatorname{Cay}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4},\{2,1\}\right)=K_{4}$.

We finish disproving [3, Conjecture 3.5], i.e., that one popular color graphs have bounded chromatic number. The construction we provide is based on one of the fundamental constructions for triangle-free graphs of arbitrary chromatic number due to Tutte (alias Blanche Descartes) and independently Zykov, see Nešetřil's survey [26] for a more detailed discussion.

Theorem 3.2. For any $k$ there exists a one popular color graph $G_{k}$ with $\chi\left(G_{k}\right) \geq k$.
Proof. We proceed by induction on $k \geq 1$. Chose $G_{1}$ to be the graph with a single vertex and $G_{2}=C_{4}$ with edges colored alternatingly with two colors. If $k \geq 3$ then denote by $n$ the order of $G_{k-1}$. Define $X$ as a set of size $(k-1)(n-1)+1$. Now, for every subset of $Y$ size $n$ of $X$ take a copy $G^{\prime}$ of $G_{k-1}$ (where all copies can be considered to be edge-colored with the same set) and add a perfect matching between $Y$ and the copy $G^{\prime}$. Each of these new matchings will be edge colored with its own private color.

To see that $G_{k}$ is a one popular color graph, note first that every color class is a matching, hence the coloring satisfies property (1). Now, observe that by induction hypothesis all cycles within a single copy $G^{\prime}$ have one color at least twice. Since $X$ is an independent set any other cycle must enter and leave some copy $G^{\prime}$, but then it uses two edges of the same matching, hence repeats at least one color. This proves property ( $2^{\prime}$ ).

The fact that $\chi\left(G_{k}\right) \geq k$ is well-known, see e.g. [26].

While we have disproved the strong variant of Conjecture 1.3 its weak variant remains open. Let us propose a strengthening of it.

Conjecture 3.3. There is a function $f$, such that if the edges of a graph $G$ can be colored such the subgraph induced by any color has maximum degree $d$, and no color appears exactly once on a cycle of $G$, then $\chi(G) \leq f(d)$.

Note that this conjecture for $d=2$ is the weak variant of Conjecture 1.3. However, it is open even for the case $d=1$.
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