Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regressio

Introduction to linear models Model parameter

Training a linear regressor

directly

Logistic Regressio

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop

Machine Learning Lecture 2 - Linear Models

Jay Morgan

16th November

Linear models

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameter

Training a linea regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability , likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entropy Having learnt a little about what it means to learn, we're going to look at our first *Machine Learning* algorithm, the staple for much of statistics, numeric prediction using a linear model.

*ロ * * ● * * ● * * ● * ● * ● * ● * ●

What is a linear model? I

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entropy A linear model is a prediction (a response) to an input variable. We have the following terms:

- Response/prediction the output of the model.
- Dependant variable the variable upon which the prediction is being made.

For a linear model based on one dependant we have the following:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$$

・ロット (中)・ (ヨット (ヨット) のの()

where y is the response/output/prediction of the model, x is the dependant variable, and β_0, β_1 are the model parameters.

Slope & intercept I

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linea regressor

directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop If we look at our linear model equation, we'll notice that it's the same equation for a straight line.



As we've seen, the linear model, or linear regression, has two parameters: β_1, β_0 . What do these parameters represent?

Slope & intercept II

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linear regressor Fitting the line

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop

- The β₁ parameter is the slope or strength of relationship between the dependant variable and the response.
- Meanwhile, the β₀ parameter is called the intercept, as it's the value of the response when the dependant variable is zero.

Let's look at these two parameters.



(ロ) (型) (注) (注) (注) (の)()

Slope & intercept III

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linear regressor Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop Here we see that when β_1 is 0 (left figure), any change in x results in 0 change in y. While, with $\beta_1 = 2$, y increases two-fold by every change in x. Finally, when the slope is negative, we see that y decreases.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Notice how the line is at 5 when x is zero, this is because $\beta_0 = 5$.

Multiple variables

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linear regressor Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Probability /

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop So we've seen how we can take an input variable x, and through the combination multiplication and addition with the learnt β_0, β_1 values, we can create a pretty accurate prediction.

However, this was only for a singular variable.

In our dataset, we have many variables/features/columns that we may want to use for our prediction. It may be possible to get an even more accurate prediction by adding features to our linear regression model.

$$y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \beta_i$$

*ロ * * ● * * ● * * ● * ● * ● * ● * ●

where \boldsymbol{m} is the number of features/variables we're adding to the model.

Supporting example

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linea regressor

directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop Let's have a look at how we would use this linear model with one of the datasets: The Boston housing prices.



Figure 1: Scatter plot of the number of rooms in a house against the house valuation. In this plot we can see a positive effect with some outliers to this trend.

イロト 不得下 不良下 不良下

-

Let's fit a linear model I

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entropy We have seen that there seems to be some correlation between the number of rooms and the house price. I.e. we can use the number of rooms of the house to get the estimated price. To get an estimated price we'll use our linear model:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$$

In this case, x will be the number of rooms. But what values should we set for β_0 and β_1 ? Or put another way, what is *optimal* value for our model parameters.

We'll return to the question of optimal later, but for now, let's just select some random values!

$$\beta_0 = 1$$
$$\beta_1 = 1$$

・ロット (中)・ (ヨット (ヨット) のの()

Let's fit a linear model II

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regressio

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop



Figure 2: A linear model line overlayed onto the boston house prices dataset. Blue circles represent samples from the dataset, while the trend line is shown in red.

Let's fit a linear model III

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability , likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entropy Well that doesn't look very good, it could be 'fit' better to what we're seeing in the scatter plot! I wonder how wrong the linear model is – how incorrect our predicted house prices are?

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Evaluating our initial linear model I

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameter

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entropy To evaluate how well, or in this case, how badly our linear model is doing, let's compare the predicted value from the model against the actual house price. For example, we'll take a single sample from our dataset.

If we have 4 rooms, our model estimates the house price to be 2(4) + 5 = 13, \$13,000, but the actual cost was \$24,000. This means we have underestimated the cost by \$11,000.

What we've done there is the following:

$$\delta = |y - \hat{y}|$$

where \hat{y} is $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x$

We've calculated the difference or delta between the real house price \boldsymbol{y} and the predicted house price.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Evaluating our initial linear model II

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Training a linear

regressor Fitting the line

Logistic

Regression

Classification

Probability , likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop That gives us the error for one sample though, what about for the whole dataset? Well we could take the mean over all samples:

$$\mathsf{MAE}(X;\beta_{0},\beta_{1}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} |y_{i} - (\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i})|$$

If we calculate that our linear model we see that the average difference between our estimated value and real value is \$15,000!

Another common method of calculating how well or how badly our model is performing is to use the sum of squared residuals or perhaps more commonly known in the field of machine learning: mean squared error (MSE).

$$\mathsf{MSE}(X;\beta_0,\beta_1) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} (y - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i))^2$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Getting better model parameters I

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability , likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop Okay, so we made our initial guess at the model parameters (random values for β_0, β_1), and these weren't very good. We were incorrectly guessing the house value by \$15,000. So how do we get better values?

Well if we visualise how badly we do vs the value for β_1 we get the following:

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

Getting better model parameters II



Figure 3: Mean absolute error (MAE) between the true and predicted house values when varying the value for β_1 parameter in the linear model.

*ロ * * ● * * ● * * ● * ● * ● * ● * ●

Getting better model parameters III

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regressioı

Introduction to linear models

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Probability /

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop In figure 3, we see that as we change the β_1 parameter, the mean absolute error (MAE), i.e. the average difference between the predicted house prices and the true house prices, changes. Ideally, we would like the error or loss to be as low as possible. In this case, when $\beta_0 = 1$ the lowest possible loss we can hope to achieve with the linear model is ~ \$5,500.

But what value for β_1 gets us this lowest value for the loss? Looking at the graph, we see that the lowest point on the loss curve is somewhere between 0 and 5. Maybe even 4? While we could look at the curve and pick these parameter values, we're going to use a better method – one that give us an optimal value for this loss curve automatically.

We're going to look at the method called Gradient Descent.

If we visualise our loss curve again, and visualise where $\beta_1=1$ is on this curve, we will see:

Getting better model parameters IV



Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regressio

Classificatio

Probability likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entro



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Getting better model parameters V

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop So we want this rot dot to move down the loss curve and reach the bottom of the curve. Using the Gradient Descent algorithm, we're going to take very small steps down the loss curve.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Getting better model parameters VI

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regressior

Introduction to linear models

Model parameter

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability , likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entropy To determine which way is up, and which way is down the curve, we use the Gradient of the curve (hence Gradient Descent). We compute the gradient using finite differences method:

$$\Delta = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

where f(x) is the loss when β_1 takes on the value of x. h is a very small value.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

Getting better model parameters VII





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Getting better model parameters VIII

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

wodel parameter

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop If we select h = 0.5 then we will have the formula:

$$\Delta_{\beta_1} = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\beta_1 + 0.5) - \mathcal{L}(\beta_1)}{\beta_1}$$

where \mathcal{L} represents our loss function, MAE. If we calculate this we have:

$$\Delta_{\beta_1} = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\beta_1 + 0.5) - \mathcal{L}(\beta_1)}{h}$$

= $\frac{\mathcal{L}(1.5) - \mathcal{L}(1)}{0.5}$
= $\frac{12 - 15}{0.5}$
= -6.0

Given that the gradient is a negative number, we know that the curve is going down/decreasing. So we will want to move β_1 in this direction – we want to move β_1 so that the loss decreases.

Getting better model parameters IX

$$\overline{\beta_1} = \beta_1 - \eta \Delta_{\beta_1}$$

If we plug in the numbers we've calculated for when $\beta_1 = 1$ we get and eta = 0.5:

$$\overline{\beta_1} = \beta_1 - \eta \Delta_{\beta_1} = 1.0 - (0.5 * -6.0) = 1.0 - (-3.0) = 4.0$$

Our new value for the β_1 parameter ($\overline{\beta_1}$) is computed by taking its original value and subtracting the gradient modulated/multiplied by η . η in this case is what will allow us to take our small steps. It is important to set η to a suitably small value, as high values for η will cause the Gradient Descent to behave erratically, and even, make our loss worse!

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regressior

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entropy

Getting better model parameters X

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regressior

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regressic

Classification

Probability likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop



Figure 4: Plotting the effect of η on the step change of w.

Getting better model parameters XI

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regressior

Introduction to linear models Model paramete

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regressior

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entro In figure 4, we've varied the value of η and computed 10 steps of updating the β_1 parameter in our linear model. When $\eta = 0.05$, we see that β_1 is slowly being updated in a way that is causing our loss to decrease, but it is more so slowly that we don't reach the optimal value for β_1 . When $\eta = 3$, each change in β_1 is too large, so we over-shoot the optimal value, and end up bouncing back and forth without ever improving. Finally, when we set $\eta = 0.3$, the changes in β_1 are sufficiently large enough such that we reach the global minima in time, but they are also small enough so that we don't over-shoot this same minimum.

If we then apply the Gradient Descent algorithm to both parameters of the linear model β_0, β_1 , then we can find the optimal trend line for this data. Furthermore, visualising this will look something like figure **??**.

images/lm_learn.gif

Solving the linear model directly

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regressioı

Introduction to linear models Model parameters Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop The way we've trained our linear regression is not necessarily the best, yes it does help us understand how we can optimise to a solution (especially if not all of our data can fit into memory at the same time). But, when it comes to linear models, we can compute the values for β_0 , β_1 directly.

This is called a closed-form solution.

$$\beta_1 = \frac{N \sum xy - \sum x \sum y}{N \sum (x^2) - \sum (x)^2}$$
$$\beta_0 = \frac{\sum y - \beta_1 \sum x}{N}$$

*ロ * * ● * * ● * * ● * ● * ● * ● * ●

where N is the number of samples in our data.

Moving from regression to classification

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models Model parameter Training a linear regressor

directly

Logistic Regressior

Classification

Probability , likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop We now turn to the problem of classification. We have seen in some of our toy datasets (namely the Iris dataset), that we don't want to predict a continuous value, but rather predict the class each data point belongs to.

To predict the class, we use a model called a logistic regressor.

A logistic regressor is a model from the class of 'Generalised Linear Models' (GLM). In fact, the linear regressor we investigated in the previous section is also part of this class of models.



E> E • • • •

Multi-class vs binary classification

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regressio

Introduction to linear models Model parameters Training a linear regressor Fitting the line

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop



In terms of Iris dataset, this means we want to select one class from 3 possible classes.

We'll return to the problem of multiple classes later. But let's suppose that we only want to decide if the flower is a Setosa, or not Setosa. We've changed our classification problem from multi-class to binary classification.

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ 日 ト

ъ

Probability likelihood



Our model will eventually look like this, where we have two classes of points, and for each point we give a probability (p) that our point belongs to a class.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

Making it linear

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models

Model parameters

Training a linea regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regressio

Classification

Probability / likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop If we apply the logarithm to each probability, we get back to our linear line.





▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

Enter the maximum likelihood

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models Model parameter

Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Desk-hiller /

likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entrop But there is a problem... we can no longer use the sum of residuals as the value would always be ∞ , but instead we can use the maximum likelihood. First we project each sample to its 'odds' (i.e. the value of y on the linear line).



*ロ * * ● * * ● * * ● * ● * ● * ● * ●

Back to the probability curve



$$p = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x)}} = \frac{e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x)}}{1 + e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x)}}$$

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー のへで

Likelihood

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regression

Introduction to linear models Model parameter Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entropy

Probability of class 1

p(1) = p

Probability of class 0 (or not class 1).

p(0) = 1 - p

Maximum likelihood loss (which we wish to maximise), using the points on the probability curve:

$$\mathcal{L} = (0.9) + (0.89) + (0.6) + (1 - 0.4) + (1 - 0.2) + (1 - 0.05)$$

*ロ * * ● * * ● * * ● * ● * ● * ● * ●

Optimising the curve



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Binary Cross-Entropy

Machine Learning

Jay Morgan

Linear Regressior

Introduction to linear models Model parameter Training a linear regressor

Fitting the line directly

Logistic Regression

Classification

Probability likelihood

Maximum likelihood

Binary Cross-Entropy We could still use MSE in order to compute our models loss. This *may* still work. But there is another objective function that we would use for binary classification problems: Binary Cross-entropy (BCE).

 $\mathsf{BCE}(X;\beta_0,\beta_1) = -(Y\log(\beta_0 + \beta_1 * X) + (1 - Y)\log(1 - \beta_0 + \beta_1 * X))$

Issues when using MSE for binary classification:

- MSE is non-convex for binary classification problems.
- MSE assumes the data was generated from a normal distribution, while binary classification problems form a Bernoulli distribution.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ